Efficiency and Voltage Characteristics of the Bi-Directional Current Doubler Rectifier

Abstract. This paper presents a detailed analysis of the bi-directional current doubler rectifier (CDR) that is a candidate topology for use in power electronic transformer (PET). Among various advantages the proposed current doubler rectifier offers a bi-directional power flow capability that is the major requirement for the PET. This paper provides the steady state analysis of the CDR in bi-directional operation. Input voltage boost properties of converters are compared for an ideal case. Finally, the impact of losses in the components on the voltage characteristics and efficiency are analysed.

Introduction
Switching mode power supplies have been widely used in modern industry products to supply a stable DC voltage. In consideration of cost and efficiency, the conventional hard switching techniques are giving way to more economical topologies where zero voltage switching or zero current switching can be utilized. Typically, the flyback and forward converters are selected for low power applications, and a half bridge converter is used for medium power applications. Half-bridge topology has low cost in comparison to full bridge, however the full-bridge offers better performance in medium and high power applications [1].

Among different soft switching techniques, a full bridge (FB) converter with phase shift was proposed to improve the performance of hard switching converters. The aim of such technique is to achieve soft switching merely by use of only the leakage inductance and output capacitances of the controllable switches. However, the parasitic ringing generated by the large transformer leakage and external inductances and transformers stray capacitance can cause additional EMI problems [2]. One of such topologies, with the full-bridge on the primary side and current doubler rectifier on the secondary side was described in [1], where the ZVS conditions are analysed in a detailed. Thorough coverage of the operation of such topology in the forward mode is presented in [3] - [6], where a detailed analysis can be found. However, using CDR in reverse mode has been proposed only by few authors [1], [7] - [9], thus a more detailed analysis is required.

In the current case the main application field is the DC/DC converter of a power electronic transformer (PET). Among various advantages the proposed current doubler rectifier offers a bi-directional power flow capability that is the major requirement for the PET. This paper provides the steady state analysis of the CDR in bi-directional operation. Input voltage boost properties of converters are compared for an ideal case. Finally, the impact of losses in the components on the voltage characteristics and efficiency are analysed.

Streszczenie. W artykule przedstawiono szczegółową analizę podwajającego prostownika dwukierunkowego (CDR), którego topologia może być użyta do konstrukcji transformatorów energoelektronicznych (PET). Wśród wielu zalet proponowany układ oferuje dwukierunkową możliwość przepływu energii, która jest głównym wymogiem dla PET. Niniejszy dokument przedstawia analizę stanu ustalonego CDR w działaniu dwukierunkowym. Właściwości zwiększenia napięcia wejściowego tych przekształtników są porównywane dla przypadku idealnego. W części końcowej przeanalizowano wpływ strat w elementach na charakterystykę napięciową oraz sprawność układu (Sprawność i charakterystyki napięciowe podwajającego prostownika dwukierunkowego).
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Switches $T_6$ and $T_8$ on the CDR side are controlled with PWM, whereas the duty cycle of the switch can vary from 0…1. If the duty cycle is $D_A > 0.5$ then auxiliary path is disconnected. The power is transferred to the output during the turn ON of one switch. Energy stored in the auxiliary transformer is redirected into the HF transformer during the overlap of the control signals. At the same time only the diodes conduct on the FB side.

Main benefits of the proposed bi-directional CDR are zero voltage switching capability for the primary switches $T_1$…$T_4$ in a wide load range, achievable to utilize the energy stored in the output filter inductances. Moreover, CDR allows bi-directional energy flow control. Using a HF transformer reduces the size of the converter. Reduced current ripples due to the transformers and offer better thermal performance due to the current doubling effect. In addition the CDR has reduced transient response [1].

Steady State Analysis of the CDR

In the steady state analysis both the forward and the reverse operating modes are handled separately due their different control principles. To simplify the analysis, it is assumed that the auxiliary transformers $TR_{aux1}$ and $TR_{aux2}$, as well as all transistors $T_1$…$T_8$ are identical (the same collector-emitter and freewheeling diode voltage drops). For the analysis, the skin and proximity effects in transformers are neglected.

The operating period $T$ of one switch in the continuous conduction mode consists of active state $t_A$ and zero state $t_Z$:

$$T = t_A + t_Z$$

Equation (1) can also be represented with duty cycles

$$\frac{t_A}{T} + \frac{t_Z}{T} = D_A + D_Z = 1,$$

where $D_A$ and $D_Z$ are the duty cycles of an active and zero states of a switch, correspondingly.

In the forward mode the effective duty cycle must be used. The effective duty cycle $D_E$ for the CDR in forward mode depends on the phase shift value and can roughly be expressed by

$$D_E = D_A - \phi,$$

where $\phi$ is the phase shift value in p.u. between the two legs of FB.

The steady state analysis of both topologies is based on the fact that an average voltage over the auxiliary transformers during one operating period is zero:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} U_{TR_{aux}} \, dt = 0,$$

In the forward operating mode, the current in the auxiliary transformer is half of the output current, whereas the output current is determined by the ratio of the output voltage and the load resistance. Each diode in the CDR conducts each half cycle. The four basic equivalent circuits for forward operating mode are shown in Fig.4. More detailed analysis can be found in [2], [5]. During the effective duty cycle the voltage over the auxiliary transformer $TR_{aux}$ is equal to the difference between the HF transformer’s secondary and output voltages (see Fig.4, a). During this period the transformer secondary voltage is equal to multiplication of the input side voltage and transformer turns ratio.
During the overlap of the transistors $T_1$ and $T_3$ (see Fig. 4, b) the voltage over the TRaux1 is equal to the output voltage. Next half switching cycle is similar to previous one, and voltage over the auxiliary transformer TRaux1 is equal to the output voltage. During the rest of the period the voltage over the auxiliary transformer is equal to the output voltage. Thus according to the requirement (4) we get

$$ (U_{IN} \cdot N_{TR} - U_{OUT}) \cdot D_E + U_{OUT} \cdot (1 - D_E) = 0 $$

where $N_{TR}$ is the transformers turns ratio, $U_{IN}$ is the voltage on the input side and $U_{OUT}$ voltage on the output side of the converter. From here the output voltage can be evaluated as follows

$$ U_{OUT} = U_{IN} \cdot N_{TR} \cdot D_E $$

In the reverse operating mode the output side voltage acts now like a source and the input side voltage must be estimated. Voltage over the auxiliary transformer is equal to the output side voltage during the active state of the switch $T_6$, as shown in Fig.5, a. During the overlap of the control signals for $T_6$ and $T_8$ the voltage over the auxiliary transformer TRaux1 remains the same as can be seen in Fig.3. During the zero state of switch $T_6$ the voltage over the auxiliary transformer TRaux1 is equal to the difference between output side voltage and HF transformer voltage. Equation for the voltage over the auxiliary transformer with $D_A > 0.5$, considering also requirement (4), can be written in a following way

$$ U_{OUT} \cdot D_A + \left( U_{OUT} - \frac{U_{IN}}{N_{TR}} \right) \cdot D_E = 0, $$

from where the input side voltage can be derived:

$$ U_{IN} = \frac{U_{OUT} \cdot \left( D_A + D_E \right) \cdot N_{TR}}{D_2} = \frac{U_{OUT} \cdot N_{TR}}{1 - D_A} $$

**Impact of Component Losses**

In the previous section the lossless models of the CDR were presented. However in practise the voltage boost properties of CDR can be seriously affected by the losses in the components. Moreover, the DC/DC stage of PET comprises the biggest part of overall losses [14]. In the DC/DC stage the following losses are present: conduction losses of the passive and active components, switching losses and core losses. Conduction losses are caused by active resistances in the wires, transformer windings and switches (collector-to-emitter voltage drop, reverse recovery diode voltage drop). Switching losses comprise the turn-on, turn-off and diode reverse recovery losses. The core losses occur in the HF and auxiliary transformers. Previous steady-state analysis included only the analysis of conduction losses.

The conduction losses are more critical on the low voltage and higher current side, where voltage drops over the elements affect the efficiency significantly. For more careful estimation of the operating characteristics of the converter the loss elements, such as winding resistances in the transformers and voltage drops in semiconductors, should be added to the model. In the following analysis the winding losses in auxiliary transformers are represented by the resistance $r_{aux}$, winding resistance on transformer primary $r_{TR_P}$ and on transformer secondary $r_{TR_S}$, voltage drop in diodes during the conduction state is $U_D$. In addition, IGBTs with saturation voltage $U_{CE}$ were used.

As the converter is intended to be used in the isolation stage of power electronic transformer, it is necessary for the converter to keep specified voltage levels on both sides. The specified voltage levels for input and output sides are as follows.
In the case of reverse operating mode the output side is handled as an input. In further examples the duty cycles for each operating mode are selected so that desired voltage level could be achieved. It must be noticed that the losses can significantly reduce the voltage gain of the converter, thus the required duty cycle must always be bigger than the one obtained with (6) or (8).

**Forward Operating Mode**

The equivalent circuit considering the losses in components for forward operating mode is shown in Fig. 6. On FB side the losses occur due to collector-to-emitter voltage drops $U_{CE}$ of transistors $T_1$…$T_4$. On the CDR side the diode voltage drop $U_{D}$ occurs in diodes $D_6$, $D_8$. All transformers have also voltage drop due to winding resistances. The equation (5) can now be extended with adding the losses in the components. And thus we get equation (9), where $R$ designates the load resistance. Output voltage can be thus evaluated according to (10).

\[
U_{aux} = \left[U_{IN} - 2U_{CE} - I_{aux}N_{TR}r_{TR,s}\right] \cdot N_{TR} - U_{OUT} - U_{D} - I_{aux}(r_{aux} + r_{TR,s}) \cdot D_E + \left(U_{OUT} + U_{D} + I_{aux}r_{aux}\right) \cdot (1 - D_E) = 0
\]

\[
U_{OUT} = \frac{2R \cdot (U_{D} + 2N_{TR}U_{CE}D_E - N_{TR}U_{IN}D_E)}{D_E \cdot (r_{TR,s}N_{TR}^2 + r_{TR,s}) + 2R + r_{aux}}
\]

\[
U_{aux} = \left[U_{OUT} - U_{CE} - \frac{2U_{D} - I_{TR,p} \cdot r_{TR,p}}{N_{TR}} - I_{aux} \cdot (r_{aux} + r_{TR,s})\right] \cdot (1 - D_A) + \left(U_{OUT} - U_{CE} - I_{aux}r_{aux}\right) \cdot D_A = 0
\]

\[
I_C = \left(\frac{I_{aux}}{N_{TR}} - I_{IN}\right) \cdot 2(1 - D_A) - I_{IN} \cdot (2D_A - 1) = 0
\]

\[
U_{IN} = -\frac{2D(U_{D} - 1) \cdot (2D_U - U_{OUT}) - D_E \cdot U_{CE} + N_{TR}U_{OUT}}{2R + r_{TR,p} + N_{TR}^2(r_{TR,s} + (1 + D) + r_{aux})} - D_E \left[2R \cdot (2 + D) + r_{TR,p}\right]
\]

\[
I_{aux} = \frac{N_{TR} \cdot \left[2U_{D}(D - 1) - N_{TR}(U_{CE} - U_{OUT})\right]}{2R + r_{TR,p} + N_{TR}^2(r_{TR,s} + (1 + D) + r_{aux})} - D_E \left[2R \cdot (2 + D) + r_{TR,p}\right]
\]
The equation (7) can now be extended to adding the losses of all elements. In order to solve the equation, the current in auxiliary transformer is also needed to be estimated. This can be achieved from the capacitor current, which average is equal to zero over the whole switching period. Eq (11) presents the corresponding equation system. Solving this equation system gives us the solution for the input side voltage which can be estimated according to (12). The current in auxiliary transformer can be obtained with (13). Current on the output side is thus twice the auxiliary current.

In order to demonstrate the impact of losses in the reverse operating mode, then investigated topology is compared mathematically with the following parameters:

\[U_{IN} = 200 \text{ V}; \quad U_{CE} = 1.8 \text{ V}; \quad U_D = 1.6 \text{ V};\]
\[R = 100 \text{ } \Omega; \quad r = 1 \text{ } \text{m} \Omega; \quad D_R = 0.55; \quad N_{TR} = 3;\]

The corresponding dependency is shown in Fig. 8, b. It can be seen that without losses the voltage boost can be infinitely high. However, in the high duty cycle region losses become very significant and thus reduce the voltage boost rapidly to zero.

Efficiency estimation

In the following section the efficiency is estimated using the mathematical model and experimental results. The models were verified on the 1 kW experimental prototype. The 6 IGBTs with switching frequency of 40 kHz are used. The parameters of the experimental prototype are presented in Table 1 and a picture of the prototype is shown in Fig.9. The voltage and current waveforms on the input and output side in forward mode are shown in Fig.10. Same characteristics for reverse mode are shown in Fig.11. It can be seen that specified voltage levels are effectively achieved on both sides of the converter.

The overall efficiency of the CDR can be estimated using the ratio of the output power \(P_{OUT}\) and the input power \(P_{IN}\):

\[
\eta = \frac{P_{OUT}}{P_{IN}} = \frac{U_{OUT}^2}{R \cdot U_{IN} \cdot I_{IN}} \cdot 100\%
\]

By inserting the equations (10), (12), (13) into (14), the efficiency of the converter in both operating modes was calculated. The results are presented in the Table 2. In forward mode the efficiency 86.7% was achieved while in the reverse mode this number is only 72.6 %. This difference can be explained with higher conduction losses in the CDR due to higher currents during reverse mode. The difference between mathematical and experimental results can be explained with the fact, that mathematical model did not take switching and core losses of the transformers into account.

### Table 1. The parameters of the experimental prototype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input side voltage ((U_{IN}))</td>
<td>200 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output side voltage ((U_{OUT}))</td>
<td>30 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency ((f_s))</td>
<td>40 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF transformer turns ratio ((N_{TR}))</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance of HF transformer ((L_{H}))</td>
<td>1 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance of auxiliary transformer ((L_{aux}))</td>
<td>248 \mu H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer winding resistances</td>
<td>10 m\Omega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGBT (IRG7Ph42ud1pbf) saturation</td>
<td>1.8 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voltage ((U_{SAT}))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freewheeling diode voltage drop ((U_{D}))</td>
<td>1.6 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duty cycle (D)</td>
<td>0.43 (forw)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.56 (rev)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGBT driver type</td>
<td>IR4427 Dual low side driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IR2181 High side driver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High voltage peaks were observed in the input and output voltages, as shown in Figs. 10 and 11. Those peaks, typical to current doubler rectifier, are caused by the auxiliary transformers \(T_{aux1}, T_{aux2}\). The problem could be solved by implementing proper snubbers.
Table 2. The efficiency of the CDR in defined operating point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating mode</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated</td>
<td>86.7 %</td>
<td>72.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>80.7 %</td>
<td>64 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. Input and output side voltage and current waveforms in forward mode

Fig. 11. Input and output side voltage and current waveforms in reverse mode

Conclusion

The paper presented a detailed analysis of the voltage characteristics of the CDR in forward and reverse operating modes. Special attention was paid on the voltage characteristics and efficiency of the investigated topology. Bi-directional CDR as a candidate topology for a DC/DC stage of the power electronic transformer (PET) is the most critical part of the system considering the overall efficiency. In the steady state analysis mathematical models with and without losses were derived and analysed. It was found that for the same operating parameters the converter can operate at the maximum efficiency of 87 % in forward mode and with 72.6 % in reverse mode. The results were verified on the experimental prototype, where the measured efficiencies are 80.7 % and 64 % correspondingly. Voltage characteristics were studied and quite high voltage peaks were observed in the input and output voltage.

In general, relatively low efficiency and high voltage peaks could hinder use of such converter in PET. Future work will include further investigation of CDR to improve its voltage characteristics and efficiency, with proper selection of components and by adding snubber circuits.
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