A numerical method for current density determination in three-phase bus-bars of rectangular cross section

Abstract. This paper presents a new numerical computation method for determining the current distributions in high-current three-phase busducts of rectangular busbars. This method is based on the integral equation method and the Partial Element Equivalent Circuit (PEEC) method. It takes into account the skin effect and proximity effects, as well as the complete electromagnetic coupling between phase bars and the neutral bar. In particular, the current densities in rectangular busbars of unshielded three-phase systems with rectangular phase and neutral busbars, and the use of the method are described. Finally, two applications to three-phase unshielded systems busbars are presented.

Streszczenie. W artykule przedstawiono nową numeryczną metodę obliczania rozkładu gęstości prądu w szynoprzewodach prostokątnych trójfazowego toru wielkoprądowego. Metoda wykorzystuje równie całe i oparta jest na teorii obwodowych cząstekowych elementów zastępczych. Uwzględnia ona zjawisko naskórkości i zbliżenia oraz całkowe sprzężenie magnetyczne między szynoprzewodami fazowymi i szynoprzewodem neutralnym. W szczególności opisano rozkład gęstości prądu i zastosowanie tej metody dla przypadku trójfazowego toru wielkoprądowego o prostokątnych szynoprzewodach fazowych i prostokątnym szynoprzewodzie neutralnym. Rozkłady gęstości prądu wyznaczono dla dwóch przykładów układów trójfazowych z szynoprzewodami prostokątnymi. (Numeryczna metoda obliczania gęstości prądu w trójfazowym układzie szynoprzewodów prostokątnych.)
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Introduction

High-current air-insulated bus duct systems with rectangular busbars are often used in power generation and substation, due to their easy installation and utilization. The increasing power level of these plants requires an increase in the current-carrying capacity of the distribution lines (usually 1-10 kA). The medium voltage level of the generator terminals is 10-30 kV. The construction of busbar is usually carried out by putting together several flat rectangular bars in parallel for each phase in order to reduce thermal stresses. The spacing between the bars is made equal to their thickness for practical reasons, and this leads to skin and proximity effects. The bus ducts usually consist of aluminum or copper busbars [1, 2]. A typical cross-section of the unshielded three-phase high-current bus duct is depicted in Fig. 1.

The distribution of AC current density in the cross-section of each busbar of a system of busbars is generally non-uniform, and known as 'skin effect'. It can be found exactly only for simple geometries like round wires and tubes [3-7], or very long and thin rectangular busbars (tapes or strips) [7-10]. For more complex cross-sections, analytical-numerical and numerical methods must be used to find the current distributions, which is further modified by the proximity of other conductors – "proximity effect" [11-17]. Both the skin effect and proximity effect will generally cause the current distribution is not uniform over the cross section of a busbar. Since the current distributions influence the AC inductances and resistances of the busbars, the voltage regulation and power loss of a system is affected by the design of its current busses. The development of efficient numerical methods for the solutions of these problems is therefore of interest.

Integral equation

The integral formulation is well known [3, 4, 18-24] and is derived by assuming sinusoidal steady-state, and then applying the magnetouquasistatic assumption that the displacement current, j_{dis}, is negligible. In the case of straight parallel conductors with length \(l\), conductivity \(\sigma_i\) \((i = 1, 2, ..., N)\), cross section \(S_i\) with sinusoidal current input function with angular frequency \(\omega\) and complex value \(I_i\) flowing in the direction of \(O\z\), the complex current density has one component along the \(O\z\) axis, that is \(J_i(X) = a_i J_i(X)\). The component \(J_i(X)\) is independent of variable \(z\), and in a general case, depends on the self current and on the currents in the neighboring conductors (the skin and proximity effects). Then also the vector magnetic potential \(A_i(x,y) = a_i A_i(x,y)\), the electric field \(E_i(x,y) = a_i E_i(x,y)\), and the conductor constitutive relation is \(J_i(X) = \sigma_i E_i(X)\). Then, the integral equation for \(i^{th}\) conductor is given as follows

\[
\frac{J_i(X)}{\sigma_i} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\rho_{XY}} \frac{J_j(Y)}{\rho_{XY}} d\nu_j = u_j,
\]

where \(X = (x_1, y_1, z_1)\) is the observation point, \(Y = (x_2, y_2, z_2)\), is the source point, \(\rho_{XY} = |X - Y|\) is the distance between the observation point \(X\) and the source point \(Y\) (Fig.2), \(\nu_j\) and \(\nu_{ij}\) are the volume of the \(j^{th}\) and the \(i^{th}\) conductor, respectively, \(u_j\) is the unit voltage drop (in \(\text{V/m}^{-1}\)) across the \(i^{th}\) conductor, and \(i, j = 1, 2, ..., N\).

Then, by simultaneously solving Eq. (1) with the current conservation, \(\nabla \cdot J_i = 0\), the conductor current densities and the unit voltage drops can be computed. In the case shown in Fig. 1, the following integral equation can be written for arbitrary point \(X\) in each busbar and the enclosure.
where:  
- \( N_i \) is the number of phases including the neutral plus the enclosure, and \( j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, N_i \) (\( N_i = 5 \)).  
- \( N_j \) is the number of busbars belonging to one phase or the neutral or the number of rectangular plates of which the enclosure consists (usually 4), and \( j, l = 1, 2, \ldots, N_j \).

**Multiconductor model of the busbars**

In this model, each phase, neutral busbars and each plate of the enclosure is divided into several thin subbars [2, 25-30], as shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3. The \( l \)th bar of the \( j \)th phase divided into \( N_{xkl} = N_{x}^{(i,k)} N_{y}^{(j,l)} \) subbars](image)

This division of the \( k \)th bar of the \( j \)th phase or the neutral into subbars is carried out separately for the horizontal (\( O_x \) axis) and vertical (\( O_y \) axis) direction of its cross-sectional area. Hence, subbars are generally rectangular in the cross-section, with the width \( d_a \) and thickness \( d_b \), defined by the following relations:

\[
\Delta a = \frac{a}{N_x^{(i,k)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta b = \frac{b}{N_y^{(j,l)}},
\]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are the width and the thickness of the busbar, respectively, \( N_x^{(i,k)} \) and \( N_y^{(j,l)} \) are the number of divisions along the busbar width and thickness respectively. Thus, the total number of subbars of the \( k \)th bar of the \( j \)th phase is \( N_{xkl} = N_x^{(i,k)} N_y^{(j,l)} \), and they are numbered by \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, N_{xkl} \). For the \( k \)th bar of the \( j \)th phase or the neutral we have the total number of subbars \( N_{xkl} = N_x^{(i,k)} N_y^{(j,l)} \) numbered by \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N_{xkl} \). All subbars have the same length \( l \).

If the area \( S_{xkl}^{(m)} = d_a d_b \) of the \( m \)th subbar is very small and the diagonal \([d_a^2 + (d_b)^2]^{1/2}\) of it is not greater than skin depth, we can neglect the skin effect and assume that the complex current density can be considered uniform, i.e.

\[
I_{m}^{(n)} = \frac{J_{m}^{(n)}}{S_{xkl}^{(m)}}
\]

where \( J_{m}^{(n)} \) is the complex current flowing through the \( m \)th subbar.

**Busbar impedances**

For the \( m \)th subbar or plate the integral equation (2) can be written as

\[
\frac{J_{m}^{(n)}}{\sigma} + \frac{j \omega \mu_0}{4 \pi} \sum_{j=1}^{N_j} \sum_{l=1}^{N_l} \int_{V_{j,l}} \frac{J_{l}^{(n)}}{\rho_{XY}} dv_{j,l} = U_{j,l},
\]

where \( V_{j,l}^{(m)} \) is the volume of the \( m \)th subbar or plate of the \( j \)th phase or the neutral or the enclosure.

Now, we can divide Eq. (5) by the area \( S_{xkl}^{(m)} \) and integrate over the volume \( V_{j,l}^{(m)} \) of the \( m \)th subbar or plate, obtaining the following equation:

\[
\frac{R_{l}^{(m)}}{I_{l}^{(n)}} + \frac{j \omega \mu_0}{4 \pi} \int_{V_{j,l}} \frac{M_{l}^{(m,n)}}{\rho_{XY}} dv_{j,l} = \mathcal{U}_{j,l},
\]

where \( \mathcal{U}_{j,l} \) is the voltage drop across all subbars of the \( j \)th phase or the neutral or the shield (they are connected in parallel), and the resistance of the \( m \)th subbar is defined by

\[
R_{l}^{(m)} = \frac{l}{\sigma S_{xkl}^{(m)}},
\]

and the self or the mutual inductance is expressed as

\[
M_{l}^{(m,n)} = \frac{\mu_0}{4 \pi} \int_{V_{j,l}} \int_{V_{j,l}} \frac{dv_{l}^{(m)}}{\rho_{XY}} dv_{j,l}^{(n)}.
\]

The exact closed formulas for the self and the mutual inductance of rectangular conductor of any dimensions, including any length, are given in [19] and [20] respectively. Not only do we not use the geometric mean distance here, we do not use the formula for mutual inductance between two filament wires as well.

The set of equations like as (6), written for all subbars, forms the following general system of complex linear algebraic equations

\[
\mathbf{\mathcal{U}} = \mathbf{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbf{\hat{I}},
\]

where \( \mathbf{\mathcal{U}} \) and \( \mathbf{\hat{I}} \) are column vectors of the voltages and currents of all subbars, respectively, and \( \mathbf{\mathcal{Z}} \) is the symmetric matrix of self and mutual impedances (the impedance matrix) of all subbars, the elements of which are

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R_{l}^{(m)} + j \omega M_{l}^{(m,n)} & \frac{j \omega M_{l}^{(m,n)}}{\rho_{XY}} & \ldots & \frac{j \omega M_{l}^{(m,n)}}{\rho_{XY}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{j \omega M_{l}^{(m,n)}}{\rho_{XY}} & \ldots & \frac{j \omega M_{l}^{(m,n)}}{\rho_{XY}} & R_{l}^{(m)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(10)

Then, we can find the admittance matrix \( \mathbf{\hat{Y}} \), which is the inverse matrix of the impedance matrix \( \mathbf{\mathcal{Z}} \), and it is expressed as
(11) \[ \hat{Y} = [Y_{i,j,k,l}] = Z^{-1}, \]

and has a similar structure as \( \hat{Z} \). Then it is possible to determine the current of the \( n^{th} \) bar of the \( k^{th} \) phase as

(12) \[ I_{i,k}^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_j} \sum_{l=1}^{N_l} \sum_{n=1}^{N_n} Y_{i,j,k,l}^{(m,n)} U_{j,l}^{(m,n)}. \]

The total current of the \( i^{th} \) phase or the neutral is

(13) \[ I_i = \sum_{k=1}^{N_k} \sum_{l=1}^{N_l} I_{i,k}^{(m)}. \]

By inserting Eq. (12) into Eq. (13), we obtain

(14) \[ I_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_j} Y_{i,j} U_j, \]

where

(15) \[ Y_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_k} \sum_{l=1}^{N_l} \sum_{n=1}^{N_n} Y_{i,j,k,l}^{(m,n)}. \]

From the admittance matrix with elements given by Eq. (15), we can determine the impedance matrix of a shielded three-phase system busbars with the neutral busbar as follows

(16) \[ Z = [Z_{i,j}] = Y^{-1} = [Y_{i,j}]^{-1}. \]

Since each \( Z_{i,j} \) is obtained from a matrix whose elements are comprised of information related only to construction and material, its value is not affected by the busbar current. In spite of that the skin and proximity effects are taken into consideration.

**Current densities**

If we assume all sinusoidal phase currents to be given, we can write that the neutral current \( I_N = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \) and, from Eq. (14), find all voltages across phase and neutral busbars as

(17) \[ U_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} Y_{i,j} I_i. \]

Thus, from that and Eq. (12) it is possible to determine all currents in subbars, and finally calculate, according to Eq. (4), current densities in them. These densities differ across the cross sections of the busbars due to the skin and proximity effects.

**Numerical examples**

The first numerical example selected for this paper features a three-phase system of rectangular busbars with one neutral busbar, whose cross-section is depicted in Fig. 1. According to the notations applied in this figure, the following geometry of the busbars has been selected: the dimensions of the phase rectangular busbars and the neutral busbars are \( a = 60 \text{ mm}, \ b = h_1 = 5 \text{ mm}, \ d = d_1 = 90 \text{ mm} \). The phase busbars and the neutral are made of copper, which has the electric conductivity of \( \sigma = 56 \text{ MS} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \). The frequency is 50 Hz. All phases have two busbars per phase – \( N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 2 \), and the neutral has one busbar – \( N_N = 1 \). The length of the busbar system is assumed \( l = 10 \text{ m} \). In the numerical procedure, each phase busbar is divided into \( N_{i,k}^{(m,l)} = 30 \) and \( N_{j,k}^{(m,n)} = 5 \), which gives 150 subbars for each busbar. Hence, all three phases and the neutral busbars have 1050 subbars in total. With the chosen division, each rectangular subbar has dimensions of \( 2 \times 1 \text{ mm} \). This allows for the fact that the current density is uniform on the surface of the subbars. During the simulation, three balanced currents with busbar-rated values \( I_i = 1 \text{ kA} \) are imposed in phases as shown

(18) \[ L_2 = L_1 e^{-j120^\circ}, \quad L_3 = L_1 e^{j120^\circ}, \quad \text{and} \quad L_N = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 = 0. \]

As a first result, the current density comparison along \( x \) axis, practically the same along \( y \) axis at \( x = \text{const} \), in each busbar is shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. Current density along line \( s \) (see Fig. 1) in busbars of the high-current three-phase busducts with two busbars per phase and one neutral bar in the case of three balanced current

The case of three unbalanced currents

(19) \[ L_2 = 0.5L_1 e^{-j120^\circ}, \quad L_3 = L_1 e^{j120^\circ}, \quad \text{and} \quad L_N = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 = 0.5L_1 e^{j60^\circ}, \]

has been also investigated – Fig. 5.

Fig. 5. Current density along line \( s \) (see Fig. 1) in busbars of the high-current three-phase busducts with two busbars per phase and one neutral bar in the case of three unbalanced current

The second configuration of a three phase busbar system, the current density of which are investigated, is shown in Fig. 6. It has only one busbar per phase and neutral – \( N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = N_N = 1 \). The length of the busbar system and the busbar division are as in the previous example (150 subbars for each busbar). Hence, all three phase and the neutral busbars have 600 total subbars. With the chosen division, each rectangular subbar has still
dimensions of $2 \times 1$ mm. During the simulation, three balanced – Eq. (18) – and three unbalanced – Eq. (19) – currents with busbar-rated values $I_{eq} = 1$ kA are imposed in phases, and the current densities comparison along $x$ axis, practically the same along $y$ axis at $x = \text{const.}$, in each busbar are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, respectively.

![Fig. 6. Three phase high-current bus duct of rectangular cross-section with one busbar per phase and one neutral busbar](image)

![Fig. 7. Current density along line $s$ (see Fig. 6) in busbars of the high-current three-phase busducts with one busbar per phase and one neutral busbar in the case of three balanced current](image)

![Fig. 8. Current density along line $s$ (see Fig. 6) in busbars of the high-current three-phase busducts with one busbar per phase and one neutral bar in the case of three unbalanced current](image)

Conclusions

A novel approach to the solution of current density distribution in the high-current bus ducts of rectangular cross-section has been presented in this paper. The proposed approach combines the Partial Element Equivalent Circuit (PEEC) method with the exact closed formulae for AC self and mutual inductions of rectangular conductors of any dimensions, which allows the precise accounting for the skin and proximity effects. Complete electromagnetic coupling between the phase busbars and the neutral busbar is taken into account as well.

As Figures 4 and 5 as well as 7 and 8 show, both the skin effect and proximity effect will generally cause the current density in the busbars has a strongly non-uniform distribution across each busbar. Moreover, the distributions are different in individual busbars. Knowing the current distribution is important in evaluating the electrodynamic force on each busbar. It is possible also to evaluate the temperature of the different components of the busbar system.

The proposed method allows us to calculate the current density distribution in a set of parallel rectangular busbars of any constant cross-sections including any length. However, the current density vector is assumed to have only a $z$-component independent on $z$, which means that the fringing is neglected, and therefore the length should be large enough. The basic difference between the proposed model and existing models is that it uses expressions for inductances for subbars of finite dimensions. To obtain more accurate results, the fringing must be taken into account, but it requires a 3D model, which is much more time and memory consuming.

The validity of our numerical method has been successfully compared with a classical finite element method (FEM) such a FLUX2D software in the case of 2D busbar systems, particularly for the long busbars.

The proposed model is strikingly simple, and from a logical standpoint can be applied in general to conductors of any constant cross-section, whereas many conventional methods, being much more complicated, often require a greater or lesser degree of symmetry. From the practical standpoint of the calculations involved, the model requires the solution of a rather large set of linear simultaneous equations. However, this solution is well within the range of the ability of existing computers, even those slightly overage.
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