Impact of an extended grounding system on the factors affecting selection of an SPD system for apparatus safety

Abstract. The influence of an extended grounding system on the dimensioning of an SPD system for apparatus protection against lightning surges is investigated. In an extended earthing arrangement, an apparatus distant from the main switch board is usually protected by an SPD system consisting of a first SPD at the main switch board (SPD1) and of a downstream SPD close the apparatus (SPD2); if the SPD2 is earthed locally, additional stresses on such SPD are expected due to lack of equipotentiality of the extended earthing arrangement. In this paper the current and the associated charge expected at installation point of SPD2 have been investigated by several computer simulations performed by means of the transient software EMTP-RV.
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Introduction

The protection of apparatus against surges due to direct lightning stroke to a structure (source of damage S1) is within the scope of IEC 62305 series [1]. The information on the physical damages and life hazard provided by [2] are related to the case of the earth-termination system of structure assumed as only one earth-point and therefore considered as equipotential. This assumption fails in the case of an extended grounding system [3] or additional bonding [4], such in the case of large industrial installation.

This contribution intends to outline the problem and to provide preliminary information for dimensioning of the SPD system for apparatus protection. Moreover, to make this information useful for the update process of the standard revision, different types of meshed arrangement earthed in different soil resistivity (type B of standard IEC/EN 62305-3) have been investigated [2].

The problem was addressed by considering the following earth-termination arrangement and at the following assumptions:
- meshed earth-termination m with side meshing 10 x 10 m;
- meshed earth-termination system dimensions complying with IEC 62305-3 according to the resistivity of soil (10 x 10 m for 500 Ω, Z = 10 Ω; 40 x 40 m for 1000 Ω, Z = 10 Ω; 70 x 70 m for 1500 Ω, Z = 10 Ω);
- injection point of lightning current at center (J) of meshed earth-termination system;
- circuit length between the injection point (J) and the offshoot point (B) to apparatus to be protected equal to the distance between center and edge of meshed earth-termination system;
- lightning protection level I (LPL I) values of lightning current according to IEC 62305: 200 kA (10/350 μs) for positive flashes and 50 kA (0,25/100 μs) for subsequent stroke of negative flashes.

In this contribution the current and the associated charge expected at installation point of SPD2 have been investigated.

Case study under consideration

In practice if the earth-termination system has outspread dimensions (D), the earth-termination system is no longer equipotential [5]. The analyzes are performed for a case shown in Figure 1. The SPD1 is installed at line arrival to EBB1 in the main distribution board (MDB). The SPD2 is installed to EBB2 in a secondary distribution board (SDB) far from EBB1. The apparatus to be protected is connected locally to EBB2. The lightning current I on the earth-termination system from point J to point B gives rise a voltage drop $U_{J-B}$ that circulates on SPD2 a current and an associated charge, in addition to that injected directly from SPD1 into the circuit SPD1-SPD2. In such situation SPD2, which is typically SPD of test class II, is additionally endangered.

Fig.1. Diagram of circuit to supply an apparatus and the loop formed by phase conductors and two SPDs bonded to an extended earth arrangement (type B according to IEC/EN 62305-3) in different points

Several computer simulations were performed by means of well-known transient software EMTP-RV [6]. Earth termination systems of the structure has been simulated by means of a network of $n$ elements [7] consisting of a capacitance $C$, an inductance $L$ and a resistance $R$. The experimental results presented in [8] as well as transient software practices discussed in [9] have been taken into account. An equivalent schema of a mesh branch is shown in Figure 2. The methodology of relative parameters calculations discussed in [10] has been considered.
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according to IEC 62305-3 increases with resistivity approximately corresponds to dimension earthing is equal with IEC 62305-3 components of the considered system are reported in [11].

More details on computer modelling of the specific that can occur when earth electrodes are injected by high pulse transient currents have been neglected.

More details on computer modelling of the specific components of the considered system are reported in [11].

**Transient behavior of earthing arrangement complying with IEC 62305-3**

Assuming that dimension of considered meshed earthing is equal \( D \) (m) to both sides and that it approximately corresponds to dimension \( r_e \) (m) which according to IEC 62305-3 increases with resistivity \( \rho \) of the soil, as reported in Table 1.

Table 1. Dependence of dimension \( D \) (m) = \( r_e \) (m) on the soil resistivity \( \rho \) values according to IEC 62305-3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \rho ) (( \Omega ) m)</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>1500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( D = r_e ) (m)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In such earthing-termination systems, a value \( Z \approx 10 \\Omega \) of the conventional earthing impedance, as defined in [2], is achieved for the positive lightning flashes (waveform 10/350 µs), while a value of some tens of ohms can be reached for the following strokes of a negative lightning flash (waveform 0,25/100 µs), as shown in Figure 3.

**Investigation on voltages**

Results of simulation show that, at the same LPL, the highest values of the voltage drop \( \Delta U_Z \) between the injection point (EBB1 at center of the grid) and the apparatus to be protected (EBB2 at edge of the grid) are due to the subsequent strokes of a negative lightning flash, as shown in Figure 4.

Following the intervention of SPD1 and SPD2, the voltage drop \( \Delta U_Z \) is responsible of flowing through SPD2 of a current \( I_{SPD2} \) (and the associated charge \( Q_{SPD2} \)) which combines with:
- the current \( I_{SPD1} \) (and associated charge \( Q_{SPD1} \)) transmitted by the SPD1 (feeding effect) [13] and
- the current \( I_{SPD2} \) (and associated charge \( Q_{SPD2} \)) induced by lightning in the loop circuit formed by the phase conductor and the two SPDs (inducing effect) [14].

![Fig.2. Simplified mesh branch model](image)

The investigation includes both switching and limiting SPD types simulated to achieve proper characteristic voltage-ampere (\( U-I \)) and voltage-time (\( U-t \)) as discussed in [11]. The voltage protection level \( U_P = 1,5 \text{kV} \) has been assumed.

Wave shapes of lightning current, namely representative of positive flashes (10/350 µs) and subsequent stroke of negative flashes (0,25/100 µs) have been considered and simulated by the so-called Heidler function (IEC/EN 62305-1) [1].

As first approximation, soil ionization phenomena [12] that can occur when earth electrodes are injected by high pulse transient currents have been neglected.

More details on computer modelling of the specific components of the considered system are reported in [11].

**Investigation on currents and associated charges**

From the installation point of view the worst case consists of EBB1 connected at center of the grid and EBB2 at edge of the grid. The results in such case are shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, at the same protection level LPL I for current \( I_{SPD2} \) (as well as the charge associated \( Q_{SPD2} \)) expected at SPD2 installation point.

![Fig.4. Voltage drop \( \Delta U_Z \) between the center and the edge of the grid complying with IEC 62305-3](image)

The latter two currents (and associated charges) would still be present even if the earth-termination system was equipotential.

For the correct selection of SPD2 it is necessary to know the amplitude and the waveform of the whole current \( I_{SPD2} \) (as well as the charge associated \( Q_{SPD2} \)) expected at SPD2 installation point.

**Investigation on currents and associated charges**

From the installation point of view the worst case consists of EBB1 connected at center of the grid and EBB2 at edge of the grid. The results in such case are shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, at the same protection level LPL I for current \( I_{SPD2} \) and charge \( Q_{SPD2} \), respectively. With reference to the current due to the voltage drop \( \Delta U_Z \), the highest values of \( I_{SPD2} \) and related associated \( Q_{SPD2} \) occur for the lightning positive flashes.

![Fig.5. Current \( I_{SPD2} \) in a circuit with SPD at both ends for a meshed earth-termination system complying with IEC 62305-3; at lightning currents relevant to LPL I](image)
The values of current $I_{SPD2}$ and of associated charge $Q_{SPD2}$, shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6, ranges between 7.5 + 16 kA and 0.7 + 2.2 C respectively according to the soil resistivity; then they are of the same order of magnitude or even more of the currents ($I_{SPD1}$, $I_{SPD2}$) and charges ($Q_{SPD1}$, $Q_{SPD2}$) on SPD2, relevant to the case that the earth-termination system is equipotential or that the apparatus is bonded at the same equipotential bonding bar of the main distribution board (MDB) where the SPD1 is installed.

In fact, in such case, as reported in [13,14], according to the type of SPD1, switching or limiting, for LPL I the following values for SPD2 dimensioning can be postulated:

1. In the case of SPD1 of limiting type:
   - a combined charge ($Q_{SPD2}$ and $Q_{SPD1}$) ranging from 2.8 to 1.4 C;
   - a combined current ($I_{SPD2}$ and $I_{SPD1}$) ranging from 7 to 7.5 kA;

2. In the case of SPD1 of switching type:
   - a combined charge ($Q_{SPD2}$ and $Q_{SPD1}$) ranging from 0.04 to 0.25 C;
   - a combined current ($I_{SPD2}$ and $I_{SPD1}$) of the order of 1.5 kA.

Conclusions

On the base of performed analyses, the following conclusions can be formulated:

1. In an industrial plant of great extension where the earth-termination system is no longer equipotential and typically the apparatus to be protected are bonded to an EBB different from the EBB1 of the main distribution board, it is not possible to neglect the stress due to the potential differences between the different EBBs.

2. In the case of SPD1 and SPD2, these stresses should be also considered and combined with those due to the feeding effect by the first SPD (SPD1) and to the inductive effects produced by lightning current in the circuit between the SPD1 and SPD2.

3. These conclusions may be useful in the frame of the national standard revision and in particular in the correct selection of SPD system to reduce the damage of an apparatus with a given probability.

Acknowledgment

The paper has been prepared in the frame of European cooperation between Warsaw University of Technology, University of Rome “La Sapienza” and INAIL. This research was funded by a grant (No. 41/2015-25 - Effective protection of electronic and electrical apparatus against transient surges of natural origin) from the Warsaw University of Technology – Dean’s grant of Electrical Department – prof. Lech Grzesiak.

The Authors wish to express their gratefulness to the Authorities of both Universities and to INAIL, especially to the Dean of Electrical Department of Warsaw University of Technology - prof. Lech Grzesiak for related support.

Authors: Dr Eng. Tomasz Kiselewicz, Warsaw University of Technology, ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland, e-mail: t.kiselewicz@ee.pw.edu.pl; Prof. Dr Eng. Fabio Fiamingo, INAIL - Istituto Nazionale per l’Assicurazione contro gli Infortuni sul Lavoro, Dipartimento Innovazioni Tecnologiche e Sicurezza degli Impianti Prodotti e Insediamenti Antropici, Laboratorio di elettronica ed elettrotecnica, Via di Fontana Candida 1, 00-040 Monte Porzio Catone RM, Italy, e-mail: f.fiamingo@inail.it

REFERENCES

[1] IEC 62305-1, Ed. 2.0 2010-12, Protection against lightning – Part 1: General principles
[6] Pack S., Powersys: Use of the EMTP-RV software for insulation coordination studies, Graz, Austria, 18–20 October 2010