Eigenvalue and eigenmode analysis in eddy current material testing

**Abstract.** These paper presents the application of eigenvalue and eigenmode analysis in eddy current testing of conducting materials. The investigations deals with a model of eddy current sensor over the testing object. The mathematical model is defined by a Helmholtz equation and it is the fundamental model to eigenmode analysis. It can be classified as a quasi-static problem in electromagnetics. With finite element method (FEM) the eigenvalues of systems are calculated and the distribution of magnetic flux density (mode) for the eigenvalue are presented.
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**Introduction**
Eddy current methods are fast and effective for non-destructive conductivity measurement of materials such as metals, metal alloys and semiconductors. They can be also applied in thickness of walls measurements. The methods are important and often used for detecting and sizing most of the flaws in conducting materials. Small initial cracks on material surface which can’t be detected with ultrasonic testing, could be mostly evaluated with eddy current testing. Eddy current sensor (transducer) can have different geometrical construction. Typically, they have one inductance coil for exciting the electromagnetic field (E) and one or more measurement coils (M) [1,2]. In Figure 1 there are shown two various types of eddy current sensors. The absolute sensor (a) will be used for testing objects with big dimensions. Sensors for testing pipes or wires have usually a construction embracing them as shown in Figure 1b.

![Exemplary schematic diagrams of eddy current sensors](image)

Fig. 1. Exemplary schematic diagrams of eddy current sensors, a) surface absolute probes, b) probes with encircling coils, E – exciting coil, M – measure (output) coil

In the time harmonic analysis electrical current in the circular exciting coil can be put as \( I_k = I \exp(j\omega t) \).

The amplitude and phase of the eddy current output signal in measurement coil depends on several parameters e.g.: conductivity \( \sigma \) and relative magnetic permeability \( \mu_r \) of materials of the testing object, electrical permittivity \( \varepsilon \) of sensor enviroimnet (air), frequency \( f \) and current of the excitation coil \( I_k \), distance \( h \) between probe and a specimen, temperature \( T \), material errors such as discontinuity or non-homogeneity [3].

**Mathematical model of a sensor with testing material**
The Maxwell’s equations for eddy current sensor and conducting material have form

\[
\nabla \times \vec{H}(x,y,z,t) = j \omega \vec{D}(x,y,z,t) + \frac{\partial \vec{E}(x,y,z,t)}{\partial t}
\]

\[
\nabla \times \vec{E}(x,y,z,t) = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}(x,y,z,t)}{\partial t}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \vec{B}(x,y,z,t) = 0
\]

\[
\n\vec{D} = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon \vec{E} + \vec{P}
\]

\[
\n\vec{B} = \mu_0 \mu_r (\vec{H} + \vec{M})
\]

where: \( \vec{H} \) - magnetic field intensity, \( \vec{j} \) - current density in exciting coil, \( \vec{D} \) - electric displacement (electric flux density), \( \vec{E} \) - electric field intensity, \( \vec{B} \) - magnetic flux density, \( \vec{P} \) - electric polarization vector, \( \vec{M} \) - magnetization vector, \( \varepsilon_0 \) - permittivity of vacuum, \( \varepsilon_r \) - relative permittivity of material, \( \mu_0 \) - permeability of vacuum, \( \mu_r \) - relative permeability of material, \( \rho \) - electric charge density [4].

In case of axial symmetry in cylindrical coordinates for sinusoidal excitation current, the eddy current sensor model can be described with the Helmholtz equation for the magnetic potential vector \( \vec{A} \)

\[
\nabla^2 A_x + k^2 A_x = -\mu_0 \mu_r \vec{j}_\varphi 
\]

\[
\nk^2 = \omega^2 \mu_0 \mu_r - j \omega \mu_0 \sigma 
\]

\[
\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r, \quad \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r
\]

where: \( \vec{j}_\varphi \) azimuthal coordinate of current density in the exciting coil, \( A_x \) azimuthal coordinate of magnetic potential vector. For insulator (exemplary air) from equation (8) holds \( k^2 = \omega^2 \mu_0 \sigma \) and for good conductors \( k^2 = j \omega \mu_0 \sigma \). Equation (7) was the basic equation applied to calculate the electromagnetic field around eddy current sensor with Finite Element Method (FEM).

**FEM simulation multi - coil transducer model**
An axial symmetry Comsol [5] model of multi - coil eddy current sensor over testing materials is shown in Figure 2. It consists of one exciting coil E and seven measure coils M₁ + M₇ of 1 mm wire diameters (pancake coil). For numerical simulations, the tested material (iron) is taken of conductivity \( \sigma = 10 \) MS/m and relative permeability \( \mu_r = 1.39 \).
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The sensor coils are made of copper of conductivity \(58 \times 10^6\) S/m and \(\mu_r = 1\). The flaw is modeled as ring discontinuities (material: air) with a rectangular cross section of height \(h\) and width \(0.5\) mm lying in distance \(d\) under the surface. The thickness of tested specimen (metal plate) is 2cm.

FEM evaluations of distribution of electromagnetic fields for conducting material, have been depicted in Figure 3. For calculations the current density in the excitation coil \(E\) was equal \(j_e = 10^6\) A/m². There are a distribution absolute value of magnetic flux density \(B\) norm and eddy current density \(j\).

1) Magnetic flux density \(B\) norm
2) Eddy current density \(j\)

Fig. 3. Magnetic flux density (a) and eddy current density (b) around the sensor model over material with flaw at frequency \(f = 100\) Hz; parameter of material: \(\sigma = 10^7\) S/m, \(\mu_r = 1\); flaw cross section dimensions 2.5mm×0.5mm.

**Eigenvalue problem for pancake multi - coil transducer model**

The model of eddy current sensor together with testing object made of conducting material can be described with partial differential equation for magnetic vector potential \(A\) [3, 6]

\[
\sigma \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \nabla \times (\mu_0 \mu_r^{-1} \nabla \times A) = j_e.
\]

where \(j_e\) exciting current density. For axial symmetry model in cylindrical coordinates and with non-zero azimuthal exciting current density vector \(j_\varphi\), holds equation

\[
\sigma \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial t} + \nabla \times (\mu_0 \mu_r^{-1} \nabla \times A_\varphi) = j_\varphi.
\]

A homogeneous equation can be written

\[
\sigma \frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial t} + \nabla \times (\mu_0 \mu_r^{-1} \nabla \times A_\varphi) = 0.
\]

When we put

\[
\frac{\partial A_\varphi}{\partial t} = -\lambda A_\varphi.
\]

to Eq. (12) we became the form

\[
\nabla \times (\mu_0 \mu_r^{-1} \nabla \times A_\varphi) = \lambda A_\varphi,
\]

where \(\lambda\) is element of eigenvalue set of equation. Each eigenvalue corresponds its eigenfunction \(\phi(\lambda_i)\). Deriving of eigenvalues depends on finding such values of \(\lambda_i\), for whose there exist nontrivial solutions of Eq. (14) with respect of boundary conditions, resulting in evaluation of set of eigenvalues and set of corresponding them eigenfunctions [6-8]. For homogenous isotropic materials \(\mu\) and \(\sigma\) are scalars, so in Eq. (14) they can be extracted before del operator

\[
\sigma^{-1} \mu_0^{-1} \mu_r^{-1} \nabla \times (\nabla \times A_\varphi) = \lambda A_\varphi.
\]

The obtained solution is in form of a sum of products of a function dependent on spatial coordinates and a time function (Ritz series) [9]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(\lambda_i r) \exp(-\lambda_i t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(\lambda_i r) \exp(-\lambda_i t)
\]

where \(\phi_i(\lambda_i)\) are eigenfunctions (another name eigenmodes) of magnetic potential vector described with equation (15).

For this equation, eigenvalues \(\lambda_i\) are reverse of relaxation time \(\tau_i\)

\[
\lambda_i = \frac{1}{\tau_i}
\]

Eigenvalues can be interpret as inverse of propagation time constants of losses (decay) of disturbances of electromagnetic field in testing materials. A maximal value of time constant can be calculated as an inverse of minimal value of eigenvalue set.

**Eigenmode and eigenvalue analysis results**

Eigenfunction \(\phi_i(\lambda_i)\) for magnetic flux density for the least four eigenvalues \(\lambda_i\) \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) calculated for eddy current sensor model and testing specimen fabricated from ferromagnetic material (Figure 2) are shown in Figure 4. The values of eigenvalues are real and positive. The set of eigenvalues has infinite number of elements but practically the crucial properties of the investigated system are approximated by some first of them. The algorithm allows to calculate all values in a given range.

In Figure 5 is drawn a histogram for eigenvalue set \(\lambda_i\) \((i = 1 + 250)\) calculated for eddy current sensor model with testing ferromagnetic materials. It is a typical shape of Poisson distribution. The most instants of eigenvalues concentrates at the neighborhood of zero.
A minimal value of eigenvalue set of investigated system depends on conductivity and also on permeability of materials. In Figure 6 the minimal value of eigenvalue set $\lambda_{\text{min}}$ is depicted versus material conductivity in range of $(1 + 60) \times 10^6$ S/m – specific for metals and alloys.

When in material exist flaws such as discontinuities, the eigenvalues of investigated systems are changing. For instance a flaw with cross-section dimensions $2.5 \times 0.5$ mm buried under $1$ mm film (Fig. 3.) results in decreasing of $0.7\%$ the minimal eigenvalue.

Conclusions

The results of eigenvalue analysis for models of electromagnetic systems have shown the coincidence between eigenvalue spectrum of an FEM model for the electromagnetic quasi-static problem, described by the Helmholtz equation, related to testing material parameters and flaw dimensions. Knowledge of relaxation time is inherent for mathematical modeling a some physical, biological or medicine effects. During eigenvalue analysis is possible to describe dynamic properties of mathematical models for this effects.
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