Derivation of The Planar Square Coil Litz-Wire Winding Resistance for Sinusoidal Currents

Abstract. In this paper the AC winding resistance of the litz wire wound planar square coil is derived. The Biot-Savart's law is used to derive analytical expression for the AC winding resistance. Analytical calculations are done for two planar square coils of different size. Experimental verification and comparison of the calculated and measured winding resistances are performed.

Streszczenie. W artykule tym, wprowadzone zostało równanie na rezystancję uzwojenia cewek planarnych w kształcie kwadratu przewodzących prąd przemienny. Rezystancja takich cewek nie jest stała lecz zmienia się wraz ze wzrostem częstotliwości. Przyczyną zmiany tejże rezystancji jest przede wszystkim efekt zbliżeniowy, który powstaje podczas indukowania się prądów wirowych w uzwojeniu. Wpływ efektu zbliżeniowego na rezystancję uzwojenia jest silniejszy wraz ze wzrostem częstotliwości. Analityczne równanie na rezystancję uzwojenia dla prądów przemiennych takiej cewki, wyprowadzono bazując na prawie Biot-Savart’s. W eksperymentalnej weryfikacji i porównaniu teoretycznych obliczeń została również dokonana i przedstawiona w tym artykule (Wyprowadzenie równania na rezystancję uzwojenia planarnej cewki w kształcie kwadratu pracującej w obwodach rezonansowych z prądem sinusoidalnym).
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Nomenclature

\[
g = r_s \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0 \sigma_f}{2\pi}} \quad \text{normalized radius of the strand;}
\]

\[
\delta \quad \text{skin depth;}
\]

\[
\mu \quad \text{free space magnetic permeability;}
\]

\[
\sigma \quad \text{copper conductor conductivity;}
\]

\[
\omega = 2\pi f \quad \text{angular frequency of the conductor current;}
\]

\[
\text{ESR} = \frac{2}{\omega} \quad \text{equivalent series resistance;}
\]

\[
I \quad \text{RSMS value of the coil current;}
\]

\[
N_s \quad \text{number of strands in the litz wire;}
\]

\[
N_t \quad \text{number of the coil turns;}
\]

\[
L_i, L_j \quad \text{lengths of } i^{\text{th}} \text{ and } j^{\text{th}} \text{ coil sides;}
\]

\[
P_j \quad \text{the power dissipated in the conductor } j^{\text{th}} \text{ turn (one side of the coil);}
\]

\[
R_{\text{coil}} \quad \text{total resistance of the coil;}
\]

\[
R_{\text{dc}}, R_{\text{dc}} \quad \text{calculated and measured copper solid round wire DC resistance;}
\]

\[
R_{\text{meas}} \quad \text{measured AC winding resistance;}
\]

\[
R_{\text{prox}}, R_{\text{proxm}} \quad \text{calculated and measured proximity effect winding resistance of the coil winding;}
\]

\[
R_{\text{skin}} \quad \text{skin effect winding resistance of the litz wire;}
\]

\[
i(t) \quad \text{sinusoidal winding conductor current;}
\]

\[
f_\text{critical}, f_\text{critical} \quad \text{operating frequency and critical frequency;}
\]

\[
i \quad \text{index of the conductor turn for which magnetic field intensity is calculated - victim;}
\]

\[
j \quad \text{index of the conductor turn which conducts alternating current - attacker;}
\]

\[
r_c \quad \text{radius of the conductor bundle;}
\]

\[
l \quad \text{the length of the winding conductor;}
\]

\[
r_\text{l} \quad \text{one strand radius;}
\]

\[
v_{\text{e}}(t) \quad \text{sinusoidal voltage induced on the conductor surface.}
\]

Introduction

Demand of the wireless power transfer systems (WPT) for the high quality factor planar coils is significantly increasing. It can be seen that planar coils are utilized in systems like inductive heating, EV charging, robot charging, home appliances, medical appliances, and mobile device systems [20]-[36]. The additional of the ferrite introduces ferrite core losses and increases copper losses [19]. The air-core inductor with advantages of even magnetic field distribution and relatively lower costs could be utilized in such a systems [20]. Moreover in all of these systems, the low-loss planar inductors are required in order to increase the quality factor and to transfer energy with the highest possible efficiency. The WPT coils operate at relatively low coupling factor (typical range 0.1 to 0.4), thus, there is a presence of high circulating currents that causes additional copper losses. These losses must be reduced in order to increase the WPT system efficiency thus high unloaded quality factor coils are required.

Utilization of the litz-wire windings in the air-core planar inductors assures that the high-frequency winding resistance is relatively low, and therefore, high total efficiency of the WPT system is easily achieved. This is mainly because the litz-wire conductors are braided from many insulated thin strands that prevent eddy-currents induction.

The high-frequency losses of the planar air-core inducers wound with the litz-wire winding are caused by two orthogonal eddy current effects, the skin effect and the proximity effect. The skin effect is caused by the applied alternating current \(i(t)\) in the conductor. This current induces time-varying magnetic flux \(\phi(t)\) and from Faraday’s law time-varying voltage \(v_{\text{e}}(t)\) is induced on conductor surface. From the Lenz’s law, the direction of the voltage \(v_{\text{e}}(t)\) is such that it induced current \(i_{\text{e}}(t)\), which in turn induces magnetic flux \(\phi_{\text{e}}(t)\) that oppose change in the applied flux \(\phi(t)\). As a result, the applied alternating current \(i_{\text{e}}(t)\) is forced out from the center of the conductor to its surface.

For the proximity effect, the alternating current \(i(t)\) in the conductor induces the time-varying voltage \(v(t)\) on the surface of the adjacent conductors. The induced voltage \(v_{\text{e}}(t)\) from the Faraday’s law induces the eddy currents in these conductors, altering current density distribution in the conductor’s cross section, so the applied current tends to flow in the conductor surface.

Thus, the current density distribution in the adjacent con-
ductors depends on the direction and frequency of the applied current $i(t)$. For the same current direction, the current density distributes to the outer surface of adjacent conductors what is called the proximity effect. For the opposite current direction, the current density distributes to the inner surface of adjacent conductors what is called the anti-proximity effect [14]. As the frequency increases, the magnitude of the eddy current increases, and therefore, applied current tends to flow closer to the conductor surface. The total eddy current density $J_e$ is the superimposition of the skin and proximity effects current densities $J_e = J_{\text{skin}} + J_{\text{prox}}$. Orthogonality of skin and proximity effects imposes that their resistances could be separately studied [1]-[11], [14]-[16].

For the winding resistance calculation both the analytical and the numerical methods are used. The big advantage of the analytical methods is that it outweigh FEM method in terms of computational effort. For the small number of strands in the litz wire bundle, there is possibility to calculate the winding resistance using FEM in reasonable time. However, for the large number of strands $n_0 > 10$, FEM calculations takes up to few days, while analytic methods takes no time.

Various analytic methods are used for the litz-wire winding resistance calculation [1]-[13], [18]-[22], [26], [28]. In these papers one-dimensional model is used for derivation of the winding resistances based on Maxwell's equation (Amperes law) and Biot-Savart's law.

In this paper, the Biot-Savart's law is used to derive the proximity effect winding resistance of the planar square air-core coil [2]. In these papers one-dimensional model is used for derivation of the winding resistances based on Maxwell's equation (Amperes law) and Biot-Savart's law.

In this paper, the Biot-Savart's law is used to derive the proximity effect winding resistance of the planar square air-core coil [2]. The coil consist of $N_t$ square-shaped turns, where each conductor turn can be both, the victim $i$, i.e., conductor in which the eddy currents are induced, or the attacker $j$, conductor that induces the eddy currents through the induced magnetic field intensity. In Figs. 2 and 3 the top view and the cross section of the planar coils are shown.

Lengths of $i^{th}$ and $j^{th}$ coil sides are

\begin{equation}
L_i = 2(w + s(i - 1)),
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
L_j = 2(w + s(j - 1)),
\end{equation}

respectively. Lengths $L_i$ and $L_j$ are the integration boundaries for the winding power loss calculation.

The proximity effect winding resistance of the planar square air coil is given by [2]

\begin{equation}
R_{\text{prox}} = 4 \sum_{j=1}^{N_t} \frac{2 N_j^2 P_j}{f^2} \frac{1}{N_0},
\end{equation}

where the low and medium frequency skin effect winding resistance is given by [2], [14]

\begin{equation}
R_{\text{skin}}(f) \approx R_{dc} F_R(f) \approx R_{dc} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{48} \left( \frac{r_s}{\delta} \right)^4 \right].
\end{equation}

For $r_s \ll \delta/\sqrt{2}$ the skin effect winding resistance can be neglected, determining critical frequency $f_{\text{critical}}$ as

\begin{equation}
r_s < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \mu \sigma} \Rightarrow f < \frac{1}{2 \pi \mu \sigma r_s^2}.
\end{equation}
where \( P_j \) is

\[
P_j = \int_{-L_j/2}^{L_j/2} \pi \gamma^2 H_{j,x}^2 \frac{r}{8\sigma},
\]

\( \gamma = r_x \sqrt{\mu_0 \omega} = \sqrt{2} r_x / \delta \) is the normalized radius of the strand, and \( \omega = 2\pi f \) is the angular frequency of the winding current.

The averaged squared magnetic field intensity in the strand cross section \( H_{j,x}^2 \) is proportional to the integral of the squared magnetic field intensity at the point \( Q_{j,B_1(x,y,z,r,\phi)} \) (Fig. 3), with respect to \( r \) and \( \phi \) and inversely proportional to the strand cross-sectional area

\[
H_{j,x}^2 = \frac{1}{\pi \tau_c} \int_{0}^{r_c} \int_{0}^{2\pi} H_{j,(x,y,\phi)}^2 d\phi dr.
\]

The influence of the \( z \) and \( y \) components of the magnetic field intensity on the effective magnetic field intensity \( H_{j,(x,y,\phi)}^2 \) are twice that of the \( x \) component [2]

\[
H_{j,(x,y,\phi)}^2 = H_{j,(x,y,\phi)}^2 + \frac{H_{j,(x,y,\phi)}^2}{2}.
\]

Components \( H_{j,(x,y,\phi)} \), \( H_{j,(x,y,\phi)} \), and \( H_{j,(x,y,\phi)} \) are the sum of the magnetic fields intensities at the point \( Q_{j,B_1(x,y,z,r,\phi)} \) caused by the \( i \)th turn of the coil (Appendix A) and are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{j,(x,y,\phi)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \left( H_{BC,i,j,(x,y,\phi)} + H_{DA,i,j,(x,y,\phi)} \right), \\
H_{j,(x,y,\phi)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \left( H_{AB,i,j,(x,y,\phi)} + H_{CD,i,j,(x,y,\phi)} \right), \\
H_{j,(x,y,\phi)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \left( H_{AB,i,j,(x,y,\phi)} + H_{BC,i,j,(x,y,\phi)} + H_{DA,i,j,(x,y,\phi)} + H_{CD,i,j,(x,y,\phi)} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

Experimental Verification

The measurement setup shown in Fig. 4 consist of:

- planar square air-core coil (Fig. 3),
- four meter extension litz wire cable,
- the Agilent 4294A impedance analyzer with the 16047E test fixture.

In order to minimize the influence of the external magnetic field intensity the external cable was twisted, and the coil was placed two meters away from the metallic objects on the non-conductive pedestal. Measurement of the DC winding resistance \( R_{dc,m} \), the AC resistance of the extension cable \( R_{ext} \), combined coil with extension cable resistance \( R_{meas} \) have been performed, and then measured proximity effect winding resistance of the coil winding \( R_{prox,m} \) calculated

\[
R_{prox,m} = R_{meas} - R_{ext} - R_{dc,m}.
\]

Two coils listed in Table were measured in compliance with described method. The DC winding resistance \( R_{dc,m} \) for both cases was measured by four terminal method and listed in the Table. Extension cable was fixed to a position used for measuring the coils and resistance \( R_{ext} \) was measured with impedance analyzer, which results are shown in the Fig. 6.

Calculated \( R_{prox} \) and measured \( R_{prox,m} \) proximity effect resistance of both coils are shown in the Fig. 7 and Fig. 8.

It could be observed that for frequencies above 350 kHz for the coil No. 1 and 300 kHz for the coil No. 2 criteria from (3) incrementally ceases and underestimation of (16) occurs due to skin effect. Calculated proximity resistance captures measured winding resistance in the range of 75 kHz to 400 kHz with high accuracy. Discrepancies between measured and calculated proximity effect winding resistances for frequencies below 75 kHz are due to the fact that the impedance analyzer is not able to measure resistances below 30 mΩ.

![Fig. 3. Cross section of the planar coil conductors. (a) Cross section of the strand. (b) The cross section of the coil.](image-url)

![Fig. 4. The measurement setup.](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coil number</th>
<th>Calculated resistance (mΩ)</th>
<th>Measured resistance (mΩ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table III: Measured and Calculated DC Resistance**
Conclusions

Analytical method, based on Biot-Savart law, to derive the proximity-effect resistance for the square planar coil was introduced. Proposed method was used to calculate resistance of two exemplary coils designed for wireless power transfer in frequency range up to \( f \ll f_{\text{critical}} \). Measurements results show that for exemplary coils, proximity effect is a major contributor to the coil total winding resistance (for \( f \ll f_{\text{critical}} \)). Derived formula takes into account number of turns and strands, separation between turns, strand diameter, and conductor bundle diameter as input parameters, so it can be utilized for multi-dimensional optimization of wireless power transfer coil design. Time of calculation of the resistance for exemplary coils is in order of minutes, so it is well suited for design purposes.

Experimental verification of the analytical derivation of the proximity effect winding resistance of the square planar air core was performed. Results showed that the predicted resistances agreed with the measured ones.
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