A robust intelligent nonlinear control for a VSC-based HVDC station

Abstract. This paper investigates a robust intelligent non-linear controller for a high-voltage direct current transmission (HVDC) station based on a voltage source converter (VSC). The proposed controller combines fuzzy logic (FL) and sliding mode (SM) techniques. The sliding mode technique is used to model parameter uncertainties, and fuzzy logic is employed to handle non-linearity. The simulation results show that the proposed controller is more efficient under various unfavourable operation conditions compared to other techniques.

Streszczenie. W artykule zbadano solidny inteligentny nieliniowy sterownik stacji wysokonapięciowej prądu stałego (HVDC) opartej na konwerterze źródła napięcia (VSC). Proporowany sterownik łączy techniki rozmyte (FL) i ślizgowe (SM). Do modelowania niepewności parametrów wykorzystywana jest technika trybu ślizgowego, a do obsługi nieliniowości wykorzystywana jest logika rozmyta. Wyniki symulacji pokazują, że proponowany sterownik jest bardziej wydajny w różnych niesprawnych warunkach pracy w porównaniu z innymi technikami. (Solidne inteligentne sterowanie nieliniowe dla stacji HVDC opartej na VSC)
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Introduction
In recent years, the need for electric power increases continually. The connection of the networks to other sources of energy can be a practical solution to answer this demand. Nevertheless, the interconnection in alternative voltage can’t be constantly practiced, specifically to deal with problems of the liberalizing electrical network [1]. In order to transmit power to loads cost-effectively and reliably, The DC interconnection is particularly advantageous over long distances or for underwater connections [2].

The major requisite in a DC power transmission system is the accurate control of active and reactive power flow to maintain the system voltage steadiness. This is attained via an electronic converter and its capability to convert electrical energy from AC to DC or vice versa. There are essentially two structure types of three-phase converters possible for this conversion procedure, classical voltage source converters (CSC) and voltage source converters (VSC). The VSC-HVDC system has been an efficient solution to fix the flaw of traditional CSC-HVDC due to the self-turn-off feature of Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor (IGBT) technology and Pulse Width Modulation (PWM). Furthermore, the VSC-HVDC system has clear advantages in the dispersed generation grid connection [3][4].

The mathematical model is used to create controllers for the VSC-HVDC system. On the other hand, extrinsic uncertainty interference, such as stochastic fluctuation of load problems in the AC system and DC link, can easily influence the real physical system. As a result, it’s necessary to build VSC-HVDC controllers that increase transient stability while also minimizing the effects of uncertainties and external perturbations [5].

Many studies on VSC-HVDC control have been conducted. The vector control approach based on classical proportional-integral (PI) controllers has traditionally been considered [6]. However, this approach has some flaws, such as the stability issue caused by the effects of interaction between the inner and outer loops. Many other methods of control have been proposed in literature like robust control [7][8][9], back-stepping [10]. Finite-time stabilization [11], optimal control [5], and adaptive control techniques [12]. These techniques are used to create nonlinear controllers that are described by complex techniques and by a lack of complete knowledge of typical system dynamics.

Therefore, the sliding mode control (SMC) is one of the numerous useful control methodologies for a big type of uncertain system [13]. Sliding mode control has been adopted as an adequate control design for nonlinear systems; the important properties of SMC are fast response, robustness against uncertainties, insensitivity to bounded disturbances, good transient performance, and ease of calculation compared to traditional control systems [14][15][16].

In addition, fuzzy control has been used in the context of difficult ill-defined processes, particularly those which can be handled by a proficient human operator without the information of their underlying dynamics [17][18]. Also, it can deal with extreme uncertainties.

In this context, a fuzzy sliding mode controller is proposed here for VSC-HVDC station systems; fuzzy logic is used to eliminate all the disadvantages of the classical controller and to improve its operability [19].

The present paper is organized as follows: a nonlinear mathematical model of the VSC-HVDC in the synchronous d-q reference frame is presented, the proposed FSM controller design is developed, and finally, the simulation results of the application of the proposed controller to control VSC-HVDC station system is given. It presents the effectiveness of the proposed controller compared to classical linear and nonlinear controllers.

VSC-based HVDC station Modelling
Fig (1) illustrates the VSC-HVDC substation model. The voltage source converter (VSC) is connected to the AC network via equivalent impedance (R_s +j L_s), and to a DC capacitor Con the DC side.

---

**Fig.1. VSC-HVDC station model**
To obtain the dynamic model of the VSC-HVDC system, Kirchhoff’s second law is applied between VSC and PCC, and Kirchhoff’s first law is applied on the DC -Link voltage side [20].

On the AC side, we obtain:

\[ L_s \frac{d}{dt} i_s + R_s i_s = v_g - v_m \]

where \( i_s, v_g, v_m \) are respectively the three-phase AC current and voltage of both sides of the phase reactance.

Equation (1) can be written after applying Park transformation:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} i_{sd} = -\frac{R_s}{L_s} i_{sd} + \omega i_{sq} - \frac{1}{L_s} v_{md} + \frac{1}{L_s} v_{gd} \]

\[ \frac{d}{dt} i_{sq} = -\omega i_{sd} - \frac{R_s}{L_s} i_{sq} - \frac{1}{L_s} v_{mq} + \frac{1}{L_s} v_{gq} \]

Using the power equality on both sides of the converter, we get (3):

\[ P_{dc} = P_{ac} \rightarrow V_{dc} i_{dc} = \frac{3}{2} \left( v_{gd} i_{sd} \right) \]

The fundamental component of the AC bus voltage is \( v_{gq} \) aligned with the d axis of the d-q synchronous reference frame. The global continuous-time equivalent mathematical model of an HVDC station is written as:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} i_{sd} = -\frac{R_s}{L_s} i_{sd} + \omega i_{sq} - \frac{1}{L_s} v_{md} + \frac{1}{L_s} v_{gd} \]

\[ \frac{d}{dt} i_{sq} = -\omega i_{sd} - \frac{R_s}{L_s} i_{sq} - \frac{1}{L_s} v_{mq} + \frac{1}{L_s} v_{gq} \]

\[ \frac{dV_{dc}}{dt} = \frac{3 v_{gd} i_{sd}}{2C} - \frac{1}{C} I_l \]

where: \( i_{sd}, i_{sq}, V_{dc} \) The state variables; \( v_{md}, v_{mq} \) The control variables; \( v_{gq}, I_l \) The externals signals

**Fuzzy sliding mode controller design**

The structure of the FSMC proposed is presented in figure (2).
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**Fig.2. Proposed FSMC controller design**

The principle of the fuzzy sliding mode control consists in determining the equivalent control in the same way developed in the case of the classic sliding mode controller[21].

The discontinuous part is replaced by a fuzzy logic controller. The global control law becomes[22]:

\[ u = u_{eq} + u_{flow} \]

(5)

The surface of sliding mode \( S \) and the change of sliding mode surface \( \dot{S} \) and the control output as \( u_{flow} \).

Those are the input and output of the fuzzy controller[23].

A sliding proportional + integral (PI) surface in the space of error can be characterized as (6) [24]:

\[ S(t) = K_1 e(t) + K_2 \int_0^t e(\tau) d\tau \]

The \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) are positive constant.

In this work, a Mamdani-type FLC is used. A set of seven membership functions (Figure 2, 3) with forty-nine rules are used (Table1). The linguistic variables are defined as: negative big (NB), negative medium (NM), negative small (NS), zero (ZO), positive small (PS), positive medium (PM), and positive big (PB)[25] [26].
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**Fig.3. Membership functions for \( S \) and \( \dot{S} \)**

![Membership functions for u_flow](image4)

**Fig.4. Membership functions for \( u_{flow} \)**

Table 1. The Rule Base of FLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( u_{flow} )</th>
<th>( S )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fuzzy output \( u_{flow} \) can be calculated by Defuzzification as follows:

\[ u_{flow} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_B \left( u_i \right) u_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_B \left( u_i \right)} \]

**Fuzzy sliding mode control of VSC-HVDC system**

In the present work, the conventional PI-type controllers have been replaced by FSMCs. The aim is to set the DC bus voltage \( V_{dc} \) and the reactive power \( Q_g \) to their reference values \( V_{dc}^{ref} \) and \( Q_g^{ref} \) stations.

The block diagram of the control structure by FSMC proposed is presented in Figure (5):
Fig. 5. Block diagram of FSMC-based VSC-HVDC station

The third equation for system (4) is used to control DC voltage $V_{dc}$, where the current $i_{sd}^{ref}$ is chosen as a control variable.

The surface is chosen as:

$$S_{V_{dc}} = K_1 \left( V_{dc}^{ref} - V_{dc} \right) + K_2 \left( V_{dc}^{ref} - V_{dc} \right)dt$$

During sliding mode, we have:

$$S_{V_{dc}} = 0$$

Substituting the value of the derivative of the DC voltage into equation (8) we get:

$$i_{sd}^{ref} = V_{dc} \left( i_1 + C_s \left( V_{dc}^{ref} + \frac{K_2}{K_1} \left( V_{dc}^{ref} - V_{dc} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$-K_s \text{sign} \left( S_{V_{dc}} \right)$$

Where $K_1, K_2, K_s$ are positive constant.

The both sliding surfaces for the direct and the quadratic components $i_{sdq}$ are defined as follows:

$$S = K_p e + K_i z dt$$

where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_d \\ S_q \end{bmatrix} = i_{sdq}^{ref} - i_{sdq}; K_p = \begin{bmatrix} k_{bsd} & 0 \\ 0 & k_{bq} \end{bmatrix};$$

$$K_i = \begin{bmatrix} k_{sd} \\ 0 \\ 0 & k_{sq} \end{bmatrix}$$

By derivate the surface $S$ chosen, we obtain (12):

$$S = K_p e + K_i z e = 0$$

By writing the first and the second equations written in (4) as (13):

$$i_{sdq} = A * i_{sdq} + B * v_{mdq} - M$$

Where:

$$i_{sdq} = \begin{bmatrix} i_{sd} \\ i_{sq} \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} R_s & \omega \\ -\omega & -R_s & L_s \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} v_{gd} \\ v_{gs} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The resolution of the equation (13) gives the expression of the equivalent control as follows:

$$u_{eq} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{md} \\ v_{mq} \end{bmatrix} = \left( K_P \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} K_p e + K_i \left( -A i_{sdq} - M + i_{sdq}^{ref} \right) \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, the global control law is determined from the equations (15) (16):

$$v_{md}^{ref} = -R_s i_{sd} + \omega L_s i_{sq} + v_{gd} - L_s i_{sd}^{ref} -$$

$$k_{isd} L_s \left( i_{sd}^{ref} - i_{sd} \right) - k_d \text{sign} \left( S_{sd} \right)$$

$$v_{mq}^{ref} = -R_s i_{sq} - \omega L_s i_{sd} - L_s i_{sq}^{ref} -$$

$$k_{isq} L_s \left( i_{sq}^{ref} - i_{sq} \right) - k_q \text{sign} \left( S_{sq} \right)$$

The FSMC is applied to the DC bus voltage control. Its output is the current reference $i_{sd}^{ref}$. The latter is calculated by the equation (17)

$$i_{sd}^{ref} = i_{sd}^{ref} \left( k \right) + G_{i_s} \Delta i_{s}^{ref} \left( k \right)$$

For current adjustment, conventional PI regulators are replaced by fuzzy regulators with the elimination of the voltage compensation part, fuzzy current regulators are synthesized in the same way as the DC voltage regulator and their outputs $v_{md}$ and $v_{mq}$ are given by equations (18) and (19):

$$v_{md} \left( k \right) = v_{md} \left( k - 1 \right) + G_{i_m} \Delta v_{md} \left( k \right)$$

$$v_{mq} \left( k \right) = v_{mq} \left( k - 1 \right) + G_{i_m} \Delta v_{mq} \left( k \right)$$

Simulation result and discussion

The simulation study, presented in this section, evaluates the control performances of a VSC-HVDC station model shown in Fig.1, using different controllers. A comparison study between the proposed FSM controller and PI, FL, SM controllers is presented.

a. DC step

Initially, the system is set to: $V_{dc}^{ref} = 1 \text{pu}$. At $t=0.7$s, an evolution of 5% on $V_{dc}^{ref}$ and -10% $Q^{ref}$ are applied.

![Fig. 6. evolution of $V_{dc}$ for step of 0.05 pu on $V_{dc}^{ref}$](image)

![Fig. 7. Current $i_{sd}$ (pu)](image)
Figures (6, 7, 8, 9 and 10) illustrate that the transient overshoot is significantly reduced, compared to classical controller PI, by the application of fuzzy logic, sliding mode and the combination of the two approaches. The peak converges very fast for FSMC. In this method, the chattering in sliding mode is eliminated by the application of the fuzzy logic that explains the effective result obtained.

b. Voltage Drop And Swell

In order to verify the robustness of the proposed control method under drop and swell voltage, at \( t=0.6s \), we inject a voltage disturbance of 0.01s in the AC network. Figures (10 and 11) show the variation of \( V_{dc} \) voltage with the application of PI, FL, SM and FSM control methods. It converges very fast for FSMC method compared to the classical PI, FL and SM controllers.

Fig.11. evolution of \( V_{dc} \) for a voltage drop of 0.05 pu in the AC side

Conclusion

In this paper, an intelligent fuzzy sliding mode controller for a VSC-HVDC system is presented. It has been employed to improve the performance of a sliding mode controller. The proposed intelligent controller was tested for a VSC converter operating in DC mode. The comparison of performance with the conventional PI, SM, FL controllers presented shows the efficiency of the proposed controller. It provides a much faster settling time, and lower overshoot, resulting in better stability and robust control performance.
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